FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

DEC 21 2007

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LENNIN CATALAN CALDERON, a.k.a. Lenin Catalan; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-72991

Agency Nos. A95-304-185 A95-304-186

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 17, 2007 **

Before: GOODWIN, REINHARDT and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review from the Board of Immigration Appeals'
("BIA") denial of a motion to reopen.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Respondent's unopposed motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). The regulations provide that "a party may file only one motion to reopen," and that the motion "must be filed no later than 90 days after the date on which the final administrative decision was rendered in the proceeding sought to be reopened." *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2). The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' second motion to reopen, filed more than one year after the final administrative decision was rendered. *See Iturribarria* v. *INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot.

The temporary stay of removal shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate. The motion for stay of voluntary departure, filed after the departure period had expired, is denied. *See Garcia v. Ashcroft*, 368 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2004).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.